

From objective to production. Under your control.

Legatus coordinates AI coding agents from objective to production, enforces requirements, and checks their work before it advances.

Gary Somerhalder

Founder & CEO / 16+ years · Leadership at 4 acquired companies

Paid pilot: Swordsweeper · Raising \$1.5M pre-seed

Development history and internal testing are founder-reported. Prior-company exits are founder experience; pilot outcomes remain to be measured.

4 ACQUIRED COMPANIES

Founder with exits

Leadership roles at Vault Rooms, Copilot Communications, Doctors Access and Vital Care Services.

FOUNDER-FUNDED DEVELOPMENT

2 years building

Built over two years with our own capital, before this pre-seed raise.

TESTED INSIDE CENTURION

Real client projects

Tested inside Centurion Software Group on our own client projects.

More code. The same accountability.

AI agents can produce the change. Engineering leaders still have to establish that it meets the requirements and is ready to advance.

INITIAL BUYER

VP Engineering / CTO

B2B software teams with 20–200 engineers, an active coding-agent rollout, and meaningful release requirements.

RECURRING PAIN

Reconstructing “done”

Reconcile requirements, test results, reviews, and exceptions across agent sessions before accepting the work.

BUYING TRIGGER

Scaling beyond one agent

A broader rollout needs a consistent acceptance process. The pilot measures the verification work that process can remove.

First customer discovery question: how much work happens between an agent saying “done” and a team accepting the change?



Gary Somerhalder

Founder & CEO / Legatus AI

16+ years

Enterprise software

320% ROIC

Doctors Access / prior-company exit

2 years building

Founder-funded development

Roles and acquisition statuses are founder-reported. Dates shown are role tenure, not acquisition dates. The 320% ROIC relates to Doctors Access, not Legatus.

Four acquired companies. Enterprise depth.

Gary Somerhalder brings CEO, CTO and IT leadership experience across healthcare, communications and secure software to Legatus.

Vault Rooms

Chief Technology Officer · Jan 2017 – Jan 2018

ACQUIRED

Copilot Communications

Chief Executive Officer · Feb 2014 – Jan 2016

ACQUIRED

Doctors Access

Chief Technology Officer · Jan 2013 – Jan 2014

ACQUIRED

Vital Care Services

Director of Information Technology · Oct 2009 – Oct 2011

ACQUIRED

Enterprise experience. From strategy to execution.

Through Centurion Software Group and GMS Ventures, Gary has built teams, platforms and partnerships; led restructurings and acquisitions; and connected technology with business operations and capital.

BUSINESS LEADERSHIP

An operator's perspective

Strategy, growth, capital, teams and operating models—from venture-backed startups to global enterprises.

HANDS-ON TECHNICAL DEPTH

Architecture through delivery

Senior software engineering, enterprise platforms and agentic AI expertise connect the vision to working systems.



CROSS-SECTOR EXPERIENCE

Systems that face scrutiny

Healthcare, finance, communications, travel and technology inform the focus on requirements, accountability and reliable delivery.

REPRESENTATIVE ORGANIZATIONS

Across prior work and engagements



Representative organizations from Gary's published background; relationships vary by engagement. Founder experience before Legatus, not a Legatus customer list.

AI adoption is ahead of trust.

Stack Overflow's 2025 Developer Survey shows widespread AI-tool adoption alongside a substantial verification problem.

AI TOOLS / SURVEY RESPONDENTS

84%

Use or plan to use AI tools in development. This includes AI tools broadly, not only autonomous coding agents.

ACCURACY / SURVEY RESPONDENTS

46%

Distrust AI-tool accuracy, compared with 33% who trust it. Adoption does not remove the need to check the output.

LEGATUS OPPORTUNITY

Make acceptance repeatable

Give teams a consistent way to connect requirements, checks, and policy to the decision that work can advance.

Source: Stack Overflow Developer Survey 2025; question-specific respondents. These figures establish context, not buyer counts or demand for Legatus.

06 / IMPLEMENTATION EVIDENCE

A working runtime. Built to enforce delivery.

A nine-crate Rust runtime implements workflow gates, evidence evaluation, signed proof chains and durable checkpoints. Customer validation now tests these mechanisms in real delivery workflows.

01 / VERIFICATION

Evidence before progression

Phase completion requires a durable checkpoint and evidence evaluation. Unknown workflow and phase submissions are rejected.

Pilot measure: bypass attempts and false blocks on supported execution paths.

02 / RECORD INTEGRITY

Signed decision records

Ed25519 verification rejects absent or invalid entry signatures. Local proof storage also checks whole-chain HMAC integrity.

Portable release-bundle verification and authority separation remain work to complete.

03 / RUNTIME ADAPTERS

Claude Code + Codex hooks

Documented adapters normalize Claude Code and Codex lifecycle hooks into a shared policy engine.

Native hooks provide guardrails; supported enforcement coverage must be tested.

Implementation inspected in the repository. Source presence establishes the build; pilot evidence must establish reliability and production coverage.

Same agent. Better equipped. Safer execution.

Claude Code alone versus Claude Code equipped with Legatus. Automatically select the policy, skills and tools. Catch costly mistakes before harm. Repair and verify the work with less human cleanup.

WITHOUT LEGATUS

Rules missed

A partial skill, unchecked tool or unsupported completion leaves a costly gap. Someone must catch it. Existing checks may still intervene.

WITH LEGATUS

Rules enforced

Select policy, skills and tools automatically. Block unsafe actions, require missing checks, and verify repairs. A scripted illustration.

CONTROL-PLANE STORY

One team standard

Shared policy, session visibility and inspectable decisions. The interactive cloud view illustrates founder-described capabilities.

ILLUSTRATIVE CAPACITY

66.7h / month

200 tasks × (30 – 10) human review minutes ÷ 60. Assumed time improvement; not measured pilot savings.

ILLUSTRATIVE ECONOMICS

\$5,700 / month

\$8,000 capacity value at \$120/hour, less \$2,000 proposed subscription and \$300 added execution. Modeled value, not cash savings.

Scripted failure scenarios, not a Claude reliability benchmark. Runtime gates are source-inspected; automatic skills and MCP routing and cloud interactions are illustrations, not live integrations. Incident costs and productivity gains are assumptions, not measured pilot results.

08 / SOURCE-BASED ENTRY SEGMENT

A measured starting pool. An explicit filter.

2,232 U.S. software-publishing firms had 20–499 total employees in 2022. Qualify engineering-team size, agent adoption, budget, and verification pain within that pool.

ASSUMED SHARE QUALIFYING FROM 2,232 FIRMS

25% qualify: 558 × \$24K

\$13.4M

Illustrative annual pool at full penetration of qualified subset

50% qualify: 1,116 × \$24K

\$26.8M

Illustrative annual pool at full penetration of qualified subset

75% qualify: 1,674 × \$24K

\$40.2M

Illustrative annual pool at full penetration of qualified subset

Expansion thesis: add repositories and shared policies within each customer; larger enterprises, other industries, and international buyers are outside this starting segment.

Census SUSB 2022, NAICS 511210. Employee counts include all roles. U.S. entry segment only; other industries and international expansion excluded.

Permission isn't completion.

An agent can stay within its permissions and still miss a requirement, stop too early or report success without sufficient evidence. Engineering leaders own the consequence.

ACTION AUTHORIZATION

May it act?

Evaluate permissions, policy and approval before an action executes. LangGuard documents this runtime authority layer.

DELIVERY ACCEPTANCE

Has it earned “done”?

Evaluate evidence against phase objectives before work advances. Keep the verdict and the supporting record together.

LEGATUS FOCUS

Enforce the handoff

Combine judge-evaluated phase completion with durable workflow state and signed evidence inside supported agent workflows.

These are overlapping needs, not an empty market. Tiden addresses requirement verification; Open Magi addresses runtime gates and evidence. A judge verdict is not a guarantee of correctness.

One workflow opens a broader account.

The sourced entry pool is a starting segment. Expansion depends on proving repeatable value within customers, then repeating that motion across industries.

LAND / PROPOSED \$24K ACV

One engineering team

Start with one repository, one sponsor and an expensive verification workflow. Prove net value before increasing scope.

EXPAND / PRICING TO VALIDATE

Shared delivery policy

Add teams, repositories and release workflows. Centralize acceptance requirements, exception ownership and evidence across the account.

BROADEN / AFTER REPEATABILITY

Enterprise software estates

Target internal engineering in healthcare, finance and other industries. Enter when supported integrations and security requirements are proven.

Illustrative scale scenario: 1,000 organizations × \$100K annual contract = \$100M ARR. Neither buyer count nor enterprise ACV is validated; this is a scale hypothesis, not TAM, valuation or forecast.

A real category. A specific purchase decision.

Teams can buy a full software factory or add governance around existing agents. Legatus starts with the acceptance decision between work produced and work trusted.

END-TO-END DELIVERY

8090 + Factory

Both address the broader software lifecycle. Buyers compare how reliably a requirement becomes an accepted change.

ORCHESTRATION + GOVERNANCE

Warp + Port

Coordinate agents, context and controls. Legatus must prove a faster path to a repeatable acceptance workflow.

VERIFICATION + ENFORCEMENT

Tiden + Open Magi

Direct overlap in requirements verification, runtime gates and evidence. Evaluate the same failure cases, not feature-count claims.

Comparison based on vendor documentation reviewed September 29, 2026. No head-to-head superiority claim. Additional technical alternatives: NAEOS, Findry and Nool.

Earn trust in one workflow. Expand from there.

Win one team by reducing the work between an agent claiming completion and a human accepting the change. Keep their supported coding tools and make each decision inspectable.

IMPLEMENTED FOUNDATION

Control each transition

Shared policy, durable phase checkpoints, judge evaluation and signed proof records support a consistent acceptance process.

Supported Claude Code and Codex paths; coverage is bounded by the integration.

CUSTOMER PROOF TO EARN

Less supervision

Compare matched tasks against the customer's current process. Measure human minutes, incorrect acceptance, false holds and cost per accepted change.

Paid pilot: Swordsweeper. Measured outcomes are not yet reported.

DEFENSIBILITY TO BUILD

Reusable acceptance

Turn validated workflows into versioned requirements, regression cases and reusable policy. Expand as onboarding effort falls.

Next: authenticated review identities and independently verifiable release bundles.

Working mechanisms are source-inspected. Customer advantage and durability of the business remain hypotheses to validate; the full objective-to-production control plane is the vision.

Land with a pilot. Grow with the team.

Start with one repository and agreed success criteria. Convert to an annual subscription when the team can measure the value.

PROPOSED FUTURE PILOT OFFER

\$5K / 6 weeks

One team, one repository, baseline measurement, supported execution paths, and a joint outcome review.

PROPOSED ANNUAL PLAN

\$24K / year

Team policy, verification records, and support. Customers retain their own agent and model spend.

PROPOSED VALUE HURDLE

25 net hours / month

Measure gross hours saved minus added review and intervention. At an assumed \$120/hour, 25 net hours create \$3K of capacity value against a proposed \$2K monthly plan.

Future offer economics: \$5K / 6 weeks; \$24K annual plan. Swordsweeper's actual fee, scope, duration, and conversion terms are not represented by these proposals.

14 / CURRENT TRACTION / NEXT VALIDATION

A paid pilot is underway.

Swordsweeper is a current paid pilot customer. The next step is to document customer outcomes and build a repeatable path to annual contracts.

ACTIVE PAID PILOT

Swordsweeper

A current customer engagement provides an initial willingness-to-pay signal. Pilot outcomes and annual conversion remain to be demonstrated.

PROPOSED PILOT SUCCESS CRITERIA

Reliability + value

Compare matched tasks: human minutes, incorrect acceptance, false holds and total cost per accepted change. Agree the baseline and sample before evaluation.

BY MONTH 6 / TARGET

3 paid pilots total

Build from the current pilot through founder-led outreach. Qualify the sponsor, verification burden, and supported workflow before each engagement.

Swordsweeper paid pilot confirmed September 29, 2026. Fee, duration, scope and measured results await confirmation. Pilot criteria and future acquisition targets are proposals.

15 / PROPOSED TEAM AFTER FINANCING

Two hires. Two delivery bottlenecks removed.

Gary leads product, architecture and founder-led sales. The first hires turn founder-dependent implementation and onboarding into repeatable delivery.

FIRST HIRE / PROPOSED

Runtime engineer

Own Rust runtime reliability, authenticated review, evidence export and regression testing. Reduce technical key-person dependence.

Unlock: supported workflows that recover reliably and carry verifiable records.

SECOND HIRE / PROPOSED

Customer engineer

Own pilot integrations, onboarding, baseline measurement and support. Convert customer requirements into reusable workflows.

Unlock: faster onboarding and customer-reviewed value evidence.

ACCOUNTABILITY

Founder-led selling

Gary retains customer discovery, product direction and early sales. Hire against pilot needs and validated delivery bottlenecks.

Roles are planned, not filled. Sequence depends on financing and pilot demand.

Fund within the existing proposed product/engineering and pilot-delivery allocations; no additional capital assumed. Compensation, start dates and recruiting commitments are not finalized.

Build the business. Create strategic options.

Build a durable, independent business around trusted agent delivery. Strategic value grows with recurring revenue, embedded workflows and proven customer outcomes.

DEVELOPER PLATFORMS

Acceptance inside the SDLC

Potential fit: add verified phase transitions and reusable acceptance policies to an existing development platform.

ADJACENT ACQUISITION PRECEDENT

GitLab acquired Oxeye • 2024

Application security and risk management.

OBSERVABILITY PLATFORMS

Evidence from code to production

Potential fit: connect agent actions, policy decisions and release evidence to operational context.

ADJACENT ACQUISITION PRECEDENT

Datadog acquired Metaplane • 2025

Data observability and data quality.

ENTERPRISE WORKFLOW PLATFORMS

Control across agents and teams

Potential fit: bring governed software delivery and inspectable decisions into a wider enterprise AI platform.

ADJACENT ACQUISITION PRECEDENT

ServiceNow acquired Moveworks • 2025

AI assistants and enterprise search.

WHAT CREATES STRATEGIC VALUE

Retained customer revenue • Measured delivery advantage • Reusable policy workflows • Defensible verification IP

Strategic fit is our inference. These are adjacent acquisition precedents; no buyer interest, exit timing or valuation is represented. Build customer value first.

Know the risks. Fund the proof.

Four risks shape the pre-seed plan. Each has a concrete response and evidence to earn before wider deployment or expansion.

OPEN RISK	PROPOSED RESPONSE	EVIDENCE TO EARN
Reliability & security Wrong acceptance, bypasses or exposed customer code can destroy trust.	Bound supported paths; test adversarial cases; isolate data; authenticate reviewers.	False-accept and false-hold results, access checks and independently verified records.
Platform dependence Harness changes and bundled controls can weaken the wedge.	Version-test adapters; reuse policies across harnesses; compare against native tools.	Supported-version coverage and measured advantage over the customer’s current process.
Commercial validation Pilot value, renewals and service margins remain unproven.	Baseline pilot outcomes; measure net time saved; include onboarding and support costs.	Paid conversions, recurring usage, customer-approved value and delivery gross margin.
Execution & runway Solo-founder capacity and limited runway can slow delivery.	Hire runtime and customer engineers; document operations; stage spending against evidence.	Team-owned delivery and runway reviews at each checkpoint; \$150K planned reserve.

Risks remain open. Mitigations and validation evidence are planned unless verified elsewhere. Agree success thresholds with each pilot customer before evaluation.

Three checkpoints for the next investment case.

Deploy \$1.35M across an illustrative three-phase plan, with \$150K held in reserve. Each checkpoint links spending to evidence for a future raise.

MONTHS 0-6 / \$450K PLANNED

Technical evidence

Target 3 paid pilots total. Validate required holds, false holds and complete records. Add authenticated review identities and portable verification.

Evidence: customer-reviewed test reports, supported-path coverage, and documented pilot outcomes.

MONTHS 7-12 / \$450K PLANNED

Commercial evidence

Target 5 annual customers at \$24K: \$120K contracted ARR, including at least 2 pilot conversions. Measure net value and onboarding effort.

Evidence: executed subscriptions, sponsor-approved value assessments, and fully recorded delivery costs.

MONTHS 13-18 / \$450K PLANNED

Repeatable delivery

Target 10 annual customers: \$240K contracted ARR. Target $\geq 80\%$ monthly active accounts for 3 months and $\geq 70\%$ service gross margin.

Evidence: recurring usage, account expansion, and margin after hosting and direct support labor.

Planning checkpoints, not committed financing tranches. Usage means a supported workflow completed during the month. All customer, ARR, and margin figures are targets; one paid pilot is active today.

19 / RAISING \$1.5M PRE-SEED

\$1.5M to establish repeatable delivery.

An 18-month plan to prove reliable acceptance, convert pilots into annual contracts, and reach 10 annual customers with measured usage and delivery economics.

18

months of planned runway

Target: 10 annual customers at \$24K =
\$240K contracted ARR

gary@legatus.io

Product & engineering

Reliable verification and supported adapters; repeatable onboarding by the commercial checkpoint.

55% / \$825K

Infrastructure & evaluation

Failure-case evaluation, decision-record integrity, and measured hosting cost per customer.

15% / \$225K

Pilot delivery & sales

Target 3 paid pilots, at least 2 pilot conversions, and 10 annual customers through founder-led sales.

15% / \$225K

Operations

Customer contracting, accounting, and operating support for annual subscriptions.

5% / \$75K

Reserve

Separate contingency reserve. Review deployment against customer evidence at each checkpoint.

10% / \$150K

Proposed allocation: \$1.35M operating capital, averaging \$75K/month, plus \$150K reserve. Next-raise evidence: reliability, paid conversion, recurring usage, and delivery margin. No revenue contribution assumed.

Competitive comparison.

Alternative	Documented overlap	Legatus win test
8090	Business intent, coordinated execution, oversight and auditability.	Compare acceptance quality and review effort on the same delivery task.
Warp Factories	Coordinates models and harnesses through specification, implementation, review and verification.	Compare policy continuity and cost per accepted change across harnesses.
Port	Shared engineering context, agent management, workflow orchestration and governance.	Compare time to install a usable acceptance workflow in one team.
Factory	Connects intake, planning, execution, validation, shipping and monitoring.	Compare human intervention and incorrect acceptance on matched tasks.
Tiden	Versioned requirements, linked checks, verification gates and production feedback.	Compare requirement coverage, false holds and review effort.

Vendor-described capabilities; reviewed September 29, 2026. Legatus win tests are proposed evaluations, not comparative results.

Competitive comparison.

Alternative	Documented overlap	Legatus win test
Open Magi	Policy gates, verifier registry, approvals and an Ed25519-signed evidence ledger. Early beta.	Compare bypass resistance, authority separation and evidence verification.
NAEOS	Connects specifications, policy, controlled execution and verifiable evidence.	Compare supported workflows and independent verification; maturity unverified.
Findry	Engineering graph, policy gates and a hash-chained ledger across the lifecycle.	Compare impact coverage and portable evidence; signing roadmap differs.
Nool	Goal decomposition, dependency coordination, policy gates and signed implementation evidence.	Compare continuity across sessions, provenance and onboarding effort.
LangGuard	Runtime action policy, approvals and linked decision records.	Compare delivery acceptance separately from action authorization.

Vendor-described capabilities; reviewed September 29, 2026. Legatus win tests are proposed evaluations, not comparative results.

Competitive comparison.

Alternative	Documented overlap	Legatus win test
Kontext	Identity, scoped credentials and action policy; developer-local entry documented.	Compare supported delivery gates; do not assume enterprise-only adoption.
PointGuard	Pre-execution controls, agent identity, cryptographic audit and endpoint protection.	Compare integrity guarantees and correctness checks on matched tasks.
NVIDIA OpenShell	Sandbox controls across network, filesystem, processes and inference.	Compare complementary runtime security with delivery acceptance.
EQTY Lab	Hardware-rooted oversight and cryptographic attestations of execution.	Compare evidence trust boundaries; judge-verdict equivalence is unverified.

Vendor-described capabilities; reviewed September 29, 2026. Legatus win tests are proposed evaluations, not comparative results.

Capability evidence.

I = source-inspected implementation; D = vendor-documented; F = founder-reported; P = partial or qualified; ? = not established in this review. None is a production benchmark.

	Legatus	LangGuard	Kontext	PointGuard	NVIDIA	EQTY Lab	Tiden	Open Magi
Pre-action enforcement	I	D	D	D	D	?	?	D
Deterministic policy decisions	P	D	?	?	D	?	?	D
Tamper-evident records	I	?	?	?	?	D	?	D
Judge verdicts on proof chain	I	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
False-completion detection	F	?	?	?	?	?	D	D
Judge-gated phase advancement	I	?	?	?	?	?	?	?

Definitions and scope differ. Legatus: hooks inspected; Wasm and cloud operation not independently checked here. Its judge can be probabilistic despite fixed verdict labels. Doppler identity does not establish independent reviewer authentication. Tamper-evident records do not necessarily mean a hash chain. False-completion detection includes requirement or evidence checks; it is not universal correctness.

Unknown means not established in this review, not absent. Vendor documentation and source inspection are not a head-to-head production benchmark.

Capability evidence.

I = source-inspected implementation; D = vendor-documented; F = founder-reported; P = partial or qualified; ? = not established in this review. None is a production benchmark.

	Legatus	LangGuard	Kontext	PointGuard	NVIDIA	EQTY Lab	Tiden	Open Magi
Anomaly detection	F	?	?	D	?	?	?	?
Authenticated independent reviewer	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Signed policy distribution	F	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
Fleet management	F	D	?	D	?	?	?	?
Local developer entry	I	?	D	D	D	?	D	D
Cloud governance plane	F	D	D	D	?	?	?	D

Definitions and scope differ. Legatus: hooks inspected; Wasm and cloud operation not independently checked here. Its judge can be probabilistic despite fixed verdict labels. Doppler identity does not establish independent reviewer authentication. Tamper-evident records do not necessarily mean a hash chain. False-completion detection includes requirement or evidence checks; it is not universal correctness.

Unknown means not established in this review, not absent. Vendor documentation and source inspection are not a head-to-head production benchmark.

Sources & evidence.

Founder background

Founder-published career history and supplied LinkedIn experience screenshot: Vault Rooms, Copilot Communications, Doctors Access and Vital Care Services are marked acquired. Dates are role tenure. Doctors Access ROIC is founder-reported. AI tenure and technical role are founder-provided.

Legatus: proof store

Complete-chain save/load signing and verification implementation. Repository access may be required.

Stack Overflow: 2025 AI survey

84% use or plan AI tools; 46% distrust accuracy versus 33% trust. Different question bases; not company adoption or market sizing.

Legatus: verification decision logic

Pinned source inspection. No independent runtime benchmark or security audit performed. Repository access may be required.

Legatus: Codex hook adapters

Native hook integration and documented enforcement limitations. Repository access may be required.

Census: 2022 SUSB firm counts

U.S. NAICS 511210; sum enterprise-size codes 06–17: 2,232 firms with 20–499 employees. Qualification and \$24K ACV are assumptions.

Paid pilot: Swordsweeper, founder-confirmed September 29, 2026. Actual terms and results await confirmation. The raise is \$1.5M; allocation and future commercial figures are plans.

Sources & evidence.

8090: product documentation

Full SDLC platform. Vendor-described capabilities; reviewed September 29, 2026. No independent head-to-head test.

Port: product documentation

Enterprise platform. Vendor-described capabilities; reviewed September 29, 2026. No independent head-to-head test.

Tiden: product documentation

Requirements verification. Vendor-described capabilities; reviewed September 29, 2026. No independent head-to-head test.

Warp Factories: product documentation

Factory control plane. Vendor-described capabilities; reviewed September 29, 2026. No independent head-to-head test.

Factory: product documentation

Autonomous delivery. Vendor-described capabilities; reviewed September 29, 2026. No independent head-to-head test.

Open Magi: product documentation

Runtime governance. Vendor-described capabilities; reviewed September 29, 2026. No independent head-to-head test.

Paid pilot: Swordsweeper, founder-confirmed September 29, 2026. Actual terms and results await confirmation. The raise is \$1.5M; allocation and future commercial figures are plans.

Sources & evidence.

NAEOS: product documentation

Engineering control plane. Vendor-described capabilities; reviewed September 29, 2026. No independent head-to-head test.

Nool: product documentation

Engineering state. Vendor-described capabilities; reviewed September 29, 2026. No independent head-to-head test.

Legatus: signature verification

Mandatory Ed25519 verification after local proof-store loading. Source inspection, not an executed security audit or portable-bundle test.

Findry: product documentation

Governed delivery. Vendor-described capabilities; reviewed September 29, 2026. No independent head-to-head test.

Legatus: phase and review handlers

Checkpoint-based phase acceptance. Reviewer identity is caller-supplied in this inspected path; authenticated independence remains to establish.

Legatus: runtime architecture

Nine-crate Rust architecture, hook adapters, workflow checkpoints and proof-chain implementation. Source inspection does not establish deployed coverage.

Paid pilot: Swordsweeper, founder-confirmed September 29, 2026. Actual terms and results await confirmation. The raise is \$1.5M; allocation and future commercial figures are plans.

Sources & evidence.

LangGuard: runtime authority

Action policy, named approvals and linked decision records. Reviewed September 29, 2026. Authorization and delivery correctness are overlapping needs.

PointGuard: primary documentation

Vendor-described agent security. Reviewed September 29, 2026. Unknown capabilities are not asserted absent.

EQTY Lab: primary documentation

Vendor-described verifiable runtime. Reviewed September 29, 2026. Unknown capabilities are not asserted absent.

Kontext: primary documentation

Vendor-described runtime authorization. Reviewed September 29, 2026. Unknown capabilities are not asserted absent.

NVIDIA OpenShell: primary documentation

Vendor-described secure agent runtime. Reviewed September 29, 2026. Unknown capabilities are not asserted absent.

GitLab acquired Oxeye / March 2024

Primary announcement, March 20, 2024: acquisition to expand application security and risk management. Adjacent strategic precedent; no Legatus buyer interest or valuation inference.

Paid pilot: Swordsweeper, founder-confirmed September 29, 2026. Actual terms and results await confirmation. The raise is \$1.5M; allocation and future commercial figures are plans.

Sources & evidence.

Datadog acquired Metaplane / April 2025

Primary announcement, April 23, 2025: acquisition expanding data observability. Adjacent strategic precedent; no Legatus buyer interest or valuation inference.

ServiceNow acquired Moveworks / December 2025

Primary completion announcement, December 15, 2025: AI assistant and enterprise search capabilities. Adjacent strategic precedent; no Legatus buyer interest or valuation inference.

Paid pilot: Swordsweeper, founder-confirmed September 29, 2026. Actual terms and results await confirmation. The raise is \$1.5M; allocation and future commercial figures are plans.